

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.			
09/488,351	01/20/2000	Terry L. Cole	2000.023000 4297			
23720 7	590 02/23/2005	02/23/2005 EXAMINER				
WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C. 10333 RICHMOND, SUITE 1100			AHN, SAM K			
HOUSTON, T	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			2637			
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/488,35	51	COLE, TERRY L.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		Sam K. Al	าท	2637		
7 Period for F	he MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence address	S	
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT as of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat iod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to deply within the set or extended period for reply will, by treceived by the Office later than three months after the atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no evolution. s, a reply within the stat r period will apply and wi y statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be utory minimum of thirty (30) d ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro lication to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this commun NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.	
Status			•			
1) 🖂 Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed on	n 05 October 200	4			
·						
3)□ Si						
Disposition	of Claims	,				
4a 5)□ CI 6)⊠ CI 7)□ CI	aim(s) 2-32,35 and 36 is/are pending in of the above claim(s) is/are with aim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) 2-32,35 and 36 is/are rejected. aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from co	nsideration.			
Application	Papers					
9)∐ Th	e specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
•))☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	plicant may not request that any objection					
	placement drawing sheet(s) including the one of the one					
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) 1. 2. 3.	knowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority docu Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E	uments have bee uments have bee e priority docume Bureau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Applica ents have been recei e 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stag	je	
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) 🔲 Informat	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ b(s)/Mail Date			l Patent Application (PTO-152))	

Art Unit: 2637

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed on 10/05/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, it is in the knowledge generally available to one skilled in the art that lowering or minimizing transmit power is always desirable in any system, thus, allowing the system to minimize power consumption and further to minimize or avoid any interferences that may be caused when signals transmitted have high power level. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Wu's system with Wiese's teaching of initializing the communication channel in low power for the purpose of reducing power consumption and potentially minimizing interference with other modern lines that may be affected as noise when high powered signalling is performed.

In response to applicants' argument that Wu does not teach, at the first transceiver, training based at least on the training parameter, the examiner explains that the first transceiver calculates the training parameter (70C), and both transceivers

perform training (72R,74C,76R,76C), and provide the training parameter (72C,74R,76C,76R) to the other transceiver (see Fig.9). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to analyze that calculating the training parameters performed by the first transceiver and transmitting the training parameters to the second transceiver, and further, the second transceiver transmitting training parameters to the first transceiver to perform training may be equivalent to the process of the first transceiver calculating the training parameter, performing training, and transmitting the training parameters to the second transceiver, as the first transceiver would be adjusted through the training parameter, and further in Wu's system, both transceivers would be optimally adjusted for transmission.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 11-14, 16,17, 21-25, 28, 29, 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu (cited previously) in view of Wiese et al. (Wiese, cited previously).

Regarding claims 2,12,21,28,35 and 36, Wu teaches a method and apparatus comprising establishing a communication channel between a first transceiver and a second transceiver (see Fig.9) comprising determining (70C), and

Art Unit: 2637

performing (72C), at the first transceiver (central office modem), a training parameter in response to establishing the communication channel (PSD REVERB), and providing (72C) the training parameter to the second transceiver (remote modem). The first transceiver calculates the training parameter (70C), and both transceivers perform training (72R,74C,76R,76C), and provide the training parameter (72C,74R,76C,76R) to the other transceiver (see Fig.9). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to analyze that calculating the training parameters performed by the first transceiver and transmitting the training parameters to the second transceiver, and further, the second transceiver transmitting training parameters to the first transceiver to perform training may be equivalent to the process of the first transceiver calculating the training parameter, performing training, and transmitting the training parameters to the second transceiver, as the first tranceiver would be adjusted through the training parameter, and further in Wu's system, both transceivers would be optimally adjusted for transmission. However, Wu does not explicitly teach wherein the communication channel establishment was performed in low power mode.

Wiese discloses a method and apparatus comprising establishing a communication channel between a first transceiver and a second transceiver in low power mode, (note col.6, lines 16-33) wherein Wiese teaches transmission of an initialization signal at a lower power level or in a low power mode, and increasing the power level until the signal is received by the other transceiver.

Art Unit: 2637

037

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to initialize the communication channel in low power for the purpose of

reducing power consumption and potentially minimize interference with other

modem lines that may be affected as noise when high powered signaling is

performed.

Regarding claims 3, 14, 24, 29, Wu in view of Wiese teach all subject matter

claimed, as applied to claim 2 or 13. Wiese further teaches wherein transmission of

remote initialization signal at a relatively low power level and incrementing until the

signal is detected. (note col.6, lines 16-30) Therefore, it is inherent that the

increment of level of power taught by Wiese is the smallest amount of power

acceptable, since the signal is acceptable only after it has been detected.

Regarding claims 5, 6, 16, 17, 25, Wu in view of Wiese teach all subject matter

claimed, as applied to claim 2 or 13. Wiese further teaches computation of signal to

noise ratio for determining the training parameter. (note claim 10) Signal to noise

ratio includes determination of phase and amplitude distortion, and therefore it is

inherent that the training parameters include determining phase and amplitude

distortion of the communication channel.

Regarding claim 11, Wu in view of Wiese teach all subject matter claimed, as

applied to claim 2. Wiese further teaches providing a training parameter to the first

transceiver by the second transceiver. (see 239, 243 in Fig.5 and note col.9, lines 20-36)

Regarding claim 13, Wu in view of Wiese teach all subject matter claimed, as applied to claim 12. Wiese further teaches transmitting and receiving data with the transceiver (VTU-R). (see Fig.5)

Regarding claims 22 and 23, Wu in view of Wiese teach all subject matter claimed, as applied to claim 21. Wiese further teaches that the first and second transceiver is a DSL modem. (see Fig.1a where the remote (R1~RN and O1~ON are modems in the remote or customer area and the latter are modems in the central location)

 Claims 4, 15 and 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu (cited previously) in view of Wiese et al. (cited previously) and Palm (cited previously).

Regarding claims 4,15 and 30-32, Wu in view of Wiese teach all subject matter claimed, as applied to claims 2 or 13. However, Wu nor Wiese explicitly disclose power cutback in the range of 0-30 dB.

Palm teaches power adjustments wherein during initialization, power levels are incremented in the increments of 2 dB, a predetermined level. (note col.6, lines 27-43) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to implement Wiese's teaching of initializing the communication

Art Unit: 2637

channel in low power mode by incrementing in 2 dB, as taught by Palm, for the purpose of appropriately incrementing, without incrementing too rapidly, nor incrementing too slowly, and establish connection.

Page 7

 Claims 7-10,18-20,26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu (cited previously) in view of Wiese et al. (cited previously) and Olafsson USP 5,870,438.

Regarding claims 7-10,18-20,26 and 27, Wu in view of Wiese teach all subject matter claimed, as applied to claim 2,17 or 25. However, Wu in view of Wiese do not explicitly teach wherein determining the training parameter includes a transmitter characteristic of the second transceiver including a symbol timing, carrier frequency, and carrier phase of the transmitter.

Olafsson teaches fast synchronization in a modem, and further teaches wherein the training parameter includes the transmitter characteristic of a symbol timing, carrier frequency, and carrier phase of the transmitter (note col.1, lines 29-37). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to include the training parameters taught by Olafsson in Wu's training parameter for the purpose of increasing data transmission at a high data rate (note col.1, lines 37-39).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sam Ahn whose telephone number is (571) 272-3044. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Art Unit: 2637

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sam K. Ahn

2/17/05

TEMESCHEN GHEBRETINSAE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 8

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:				
☐ BLACK BORDERS				
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES				
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING				
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING				
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES				
COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS				
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS				
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT				
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY				
OTHER:				
·				

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.